



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/822,644	03/30/2001	Andre Litster	3254.2.1	8266
21552	7590	08/17/2005	EXAMINER	
MADSON & METCALF GATEWAY TOWER WEST SUITE 900 15 WEST SOUTH TEMPLE SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84101			SPOONER, LAMONT M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2654	

DATE MAILED: 08/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/822,644

Applicant(s)

LITSTER ET AL.

Examiner

Lamont M. Spooner

Art Unit

2654

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 November 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10,13-30,33-40 and 60-71 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) 66-71 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10,13-30,33-40 and 60-65 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 March 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see remarks, filed 11/11/2004, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-10, 13, 30 and 33-40 under 35 U.S.C. 112 first paragraph, have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn.

Claim Objections

DLS 2. Claims 1, 21, 24, 27, 29, 30, 28, 40, 60 and 63 are objected to because of the following informalities:

- In claim 1, line 4, "each resource" should probably be - -each of said resource bundles, in line 5, "wherein at least one association is" should probably be - -wherein at least one association of said associations is- -, in line 6, "one association is" should probably be - -one association of said associations- -.
- In claim 21, line 4, "each resource" should probably be - -each of said resource bundles, in line 5, "wherein at least one association is" should probably be - -wherein at least one association of said associations is- -, in line 6, "one association is" should probably be - -one association of said associations is- -.
- In claim 24, line 2, "the language switching mechanism" has antecedent issues.

- In claim 27, lines 5 and 6, "the language key" has ambiguity issues with respect to claim 21. Specifically, does "the language key" correspond to the sent language key or the first language key?
- In claim 28, line 2, "each language-sensitive displayed" should probably be - -each language-sensitive element displayed- -, and "the graphical user" should probably be - -the graphical user interface- -.
- In claim 29, line 6, "the language key" has ambiguity issues with respect to claim 21. Specifically, does "the language key" correspond to the sent language key or the first language key?
- In claim 30, lines 3 and 4, "each application program" should probably be "each of the plurality of application programs".
- In claim 40, line 4, "each language bundle" should probably be - -each of said plurality of bundles, in line 6, "wherein at least one association is" should probably be - -wherein at least one association of said associations is- -, in line 7, "one association is" should probably be - -one association of said associations is- -.
- In claim 60, line 4, "each resource bundle" should probably be - -each of said resource bundles- -.
- In claim 63, page 12, lines 2 and 3, "each resource bundle" should probably be - -each of said language resource bundles- - or - -each of said plurality of language resource bundles- -.

Art Unit: 2654

28 265
Claims 2-10, 13-20, 22-27, 29, 30, 33-39, 61, 62, 64, and 65 are objected to as being dependent upon their objected parent claims.

Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 66-71 are allowed.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lamont M. Spooner whose telephone number is 571/272-7613. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on 571/272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

lms
8/4/05

Donald L. Storm
PATENT EXAMINER
AU 2654